

Lecture 6: More Bounded Degree Graph and Dense Graph Intro

Lecturer: Jasper Lee

Scribe: Champ Chairattana-apirom

1 Overview

In the previous lecture, we introduced algorithms on bounded degree graphs. These graphs mainly depends on two techniques:

- (1) Random sampling on the vertices or a vertex's neighbors
- (2) Breadth First Search (BFS)

In today's lecture, we are going to talk about more algorithms on bounded degree graphs and an introduction to dense graphs and its model.

2 Cycle-freeness testing in Bounded Degree Graph

Cycle-freeness of a graph is equivalent to it being a forest of trees. The following is a well-known fact about forests.

Fact 6.1 *For a graph G with n vertices and k connected components, G is a cycle-free graph if and only if G has $m = n - k$ edges.*

From Fact 6.1, it implies that “If G is not cycle-free, then G has $m > n - k$ edges.” Using this statement, we come up with an ϵ -far cycle-freeness testing algorithm, which estimates # of edges (m) and # of connected components (k).

Algorithm 6.2: ϵ -far from cycle-free graph testing via estimation of m and k

Input : Bounded degree graph G with n vertices and degree upper-bound of d

Output: Determining whether G is cycle-free

1. $\tilde{m} \leftarrow$ Estimate m to within additive error of $\frac{\epsilon dn}{100}$ using $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ queries.
 2. $\tilde{k} \leftarrow$ Estimate k to within additive error of $\frac{\epsilon dn}{100}$ using $O\left(\frac{1}{d^2 \epsilon^3}\right)$ queries.
 3. Accept(Determine that G is cycle-free) if and only if $\tilde{m} + \tilde{k} \leq n + \frac{\epsilon dn}{50}$
-

Note: To estimate m , you estimate the number of non-empty cells in the $n \times d$ matrix (representation of the graph). The query complexity $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ can be derived using Hoeffding's Inequality with additive error ϵdn .

Note: Algorithm 6.2 gives $O\left(\frac{1}{d^2 \epsilon^3}\right)$ time and query complexity.

To analyze Algorithm 6.2, We then consider the definition of ϵ -farness from cycle-freeness.

Proposition 6.3 *If a graph G with n vertices has k connected components and is ϵ -far from being cycle-free, then $m \geq n - k + \frac{\epsilon dn}{2}$.*

Proof. Notion of ϵ -far from cycle-free meaning it needs to change at least $\frac{\epsilon dn}{2}$ edges to reach cycle-freeness. From Fact 6.1, it follows that $m \geq n - k + \frac{\epsilon dn}{2}$. \square

The structural property (Completeness and Soundness) of this algorithm then follows from Fact 6.1 and Proposition 6.3.

Note: This algorithm is a 2-sided error algorithm. For 1-sided error, there is a lower bound of $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$

3 Subgraph-freeness testing in Bounded Degree Graph

Definition 6.4 (H -freeness) Let H be a fixed graph. A graph G is H -free if no subgraph of G is isomorphic to H .

Definition 6.5 (Radius and Center of H) Let the radius of a graph H (denoted by $rd(H)$) be the minimum r such that there exists some $v \in H$ such that for any $u \in H$, $d(u, v) \leq r$ (d denoting the distance from u to v). Here, we call such v as a center of H . (Note: v might not be unique.)

Then, with the notion of center and radius, we construct the following algorithm.

Algorithm 6.6: ϵ -far from H -free testing

Input : Bounded degree graph G with n vertices and degree upper-bound of d ,
and a fixed graph H

Output: Determining whether G is H -free

Repeat for $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$ times:

1. Pick a random vertex u . (Pretending that u is the center)
 2. Run BFS for radius $rd(H)$ from u .
(**Note:** The size of the subgraph from this *BFS* is bounded by $d^{O(rd(H))}$)
 3. Check if the subgraph from the BFS is H -free
-

It follows that Algorithm 6.6 has query and time complexity of $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} d^{O(rd(H))}\right)$. Next, we show the correctness of the algorithm.

The completeness of the algorithm is trivial.

Proposition 6.7 (Completeness of Algorithm 6.6) *Algorithm 6.6 accepts all H -free graphs.*

To prove soundness, we use the following definition of the notion of a detecting vertex.

Definition 6.8 (Detecting vertex) Vertex v is *detecting* if it is a center of a copy of H in G . Meaning, if we pick *detecting* vertex v , then we will find always H as a subgraph of the BFS subgraph.

Proposition 6.9 *If G is ϵ -far from H -free, then there are at least $\frac{\epsilon n}{2}$ detecting vertices.*

Proof. Suppose we have fewer than $\frac{\epsilon n}{2}$ detecting vertices, we can remove all edges incident to those vertices with fewer than ϵdn changes in the graph representation, which contradicts the notion of ϵ -farness \square

Proposition 6.10 (Soundness of Algorithm 6.6) *Algorithm 6.6 rejects an ϵ -far from H -free with constant probability $\frac{2}{3}$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 6.9 that the probability of sampling a vertex to be a detecting vertex is at least $\frac{\epsilon}{2} = O(\epsilon)$. Then, sampling $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$ random vertices,

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{Algorithm 6.6 failing}] \leq (1 - O(\epsilon))^{O(\frac{1}{\epsilon})} \leq e^{-O(\frac{1}{\epsilon})O(\epsilon)} = e^{-O(1)} \leq \frac{1}{3}$$

□

4 Bipartiteness testing in Bounded Degree Graph

Definition (Bipartite) A graph G is bipartite if vertices G can be partitioned into two disjoint sets U, V such that $U \cup V = G$ and E_G (the set of edges of G) is a subset of $U \times V$

Fact 6.11 *A graph G is bipartite if and only if G has no odd-length cycles.*

In this case, if we construct an algorithm using BFS it might not work since graphs can have very long cycles where *BFS* would have to explore $O(d^{\text{cycle length}})$ vertices which grows exponentially.

Idea Instead of exploring radius r neighborhood, take random walks of length $O(\text{polylog}(n))$.

Definition 6.12 (Random Walk) A random walk of length l starting at u is a path $(u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l)$ such that $v_{i+1} \leftarrow \text{Unif}(\mathcal{N}(v_i))$.

Algorithm 6.13: ϵ -far from bipartite testing

Input : Bounded degree graph G with n vertices and degree upper-bound of d

Output: Determining whether G is bipartite

Repeat for $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$ times:

1. Uniformly pick a random vertex u .
 2. Try to find odd length cycle through u .
 - (i) Perform $m = \sqrt{n} \text{poly}\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon}\right)$ random walks of length $l = \text{poly}\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon}\right)$.
 - (ii) Record the explored vertices into two sets R_0, R_1 being the set of vertices reachable from u in even, odd number of steps respectively.
 - (iii) Reject if $R_0 \cap R_1 \neq \emptyset$
-

Algorithm 6.13 has query and time complexity of $O\left(\sqrt{n} \text{poly}\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon}\right) \log d\right)$.

Remark $\log d$ term is from binary-searching to find the degree of a vertex v . Why?: Because we don't know the degree but only knows that it is bounded by d . Also, we need the degree to draw the neighbors uniformly.

Proposition 6.14 (Completeness of Algorithm 6.13) *Algorithm 6.13 accepts all bipartite graphs.*

The completeness proposition follows from the definition of bipartite graph.

Theorem 6.15 (Soundness of Algorithm 6.13 (Hard)) *Algorithm 6.13 rejects a graph that is ϵ -far from bipartiteness with probability $\geq \frac{1}{3}$.*

Note: The proof of Theorem 6.15 can be found in this at <http://www.eng.tau.ac.il/~danar/Public-pdf/bip.pdf>).

5 Dense Graph

5.1 Model

Last lecture, we mentioned about models/representation of the graphs and we said that with dense graphs it is better to use adjacency matrix. So, we define G as an $n \times n$ matrix with entry $(u, v) = 1$ if and only if (u, v) is an edge in G . (**Note:** Here we don't have weights on edges.) Thus, we define the query

$$\text{query}(u, v) = G_{u,v}$$

Then, the input size of the graph is n^2 . Accordingly, we define the distance notion by

$$d(G, G') = \frac{1}{n^2} (\# \text{ of distinct bits in } G \text{ and } G')$$

Thus, ϵ -farness means $O(\epsilon n^2)$ changes on edges.

Note: This large gap of $O(\epsilon n^2)$ makes the model not always applicable on some problems.

5.2 Hamiltonian Cycles

Hamiltonian cycle detection problem is one of the examples that this model cannot give a good algorithm or bounds on.

Definition 6.16 (Hamiltonian Cycle) A hamiltonian cycle on graph G is a cycle that contains all the vertices in G exactly once.

In general case (without notion of ϵ -farness), detecting if a graph has any hamiltonian cycle is an NP-Hard problem. However, for ϵ -far property testing, the algorithm just accepts anything. This works because of the following proposition.

Proposition 6.17 *No graph is ϵ -far from having a Hamiltonian cycle for any $\epsilon = \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$.*

Proof. Suppose there is such a graph that is ϵ -far from Hamiltonian cycle graph with $\epsilon = \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$. However, you can create a Hamiltonian cycle with at most $2n$ changes, resulting in contradiction. \square

There are also other example problems that are trivialized by this model:

- (a) Connectedness testing
- (b) Test whether G contains some sparse subgraph H .
- (c) Testing other sparse properties.

6 Biclique testing in Dense Graph

Definition 6.18 (Biclique/Complete Bipartite Graph) A graph $G = (V, E)$ is a biclique if there exists a bipartition (V_1, V_2) of V such that $E \cong V_1 \times V_2$.

Proposition 6.19 Suppose a graph G is ϵ -far from being a biclique. Then, for any bipartition (V_1, V_2) of V , there exists at least $\epsilon n^2/2$ pairs of vertices (u, v) that violates (V_1, V_2) i.e.

1. If u, v are in the same set, then (u, v) is an edge in G .
2. If u, v are not in the same set, then (u, v) is not an edge in G .

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that there exists some bipartition (V_1, V_2) with less than $\epsilon n^2/2$ violating pairs. Therefore, we can flip the bits of these pairs which will take less than ϵn^2 (edges are bidirectional) to change G to a biclique. Thus, G is less than ϵ -far from biclique, contradiction. \square

Using this proposition, we construct an algorithm.

Algorithm 6.20: ϵ -far from biclique testing

Input : Dense graph G as adjacency matrix

Output: Determining whether G is biclique

Repeat for $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$ times:

1. Pick a random vertex u .
 2. Pick a random pair v, w .
 3. Check if $\{u, v, w\}$ is a biclique. Reject if not.
-

Note: $\{u, v, w\}$ is a biclique means that there are two possible cases for them:

1. They form a line graph of length 2. (At least one of them not in the same partition as u)
2. They form a graph without edges. (All are in the same partition as u)

Proposition 6.21 (Completeness of Algorithm 6.20) *Algorithm 6.13 accepts all bicliques.*

Proposition 6.22 (Soundness of Algorithm 6.20) *A single iteration of Algorithm 6.20 rejects a graph G which is ϵ -far from biclique with probability $\geq O(\epsilon)$.*

Proof. After the algorithm fixes u , we can consider the bipartition

$$(\mathcal{N}(u), V_G \setminus \mathcal{N}(u) \cup \{u\})$$

From Proposition 6.19, sampling a violating pair have

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{Algorithm 6.20 rejects}] = \mathbb{P}[(v, w) \text{ is a violating pair}] \geq \frac{\epsilon n^2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} = O(\epsilon)$$

\square

From Proposition 6.22, with $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$ iterations, the success probability will be a constant $\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)$.

Note: Here we use a proving technique that fixing/forcing the structure of the problem, and then, we check for the violation, which we will also see in the next lecture.